Background

As life-sustaining therapies have emerged, so have the ethical and legal discussions about the appropriate use of these treatments. Decisions around withholding and/or withdrawing these therapies are often central to advance care planning. In 1983, the President’s Commission for the study of ethical problems in medicine and biomedical research published, *Deciding to Forgo Life-Sustaining Treatment*.¹ This document still stands as the cornerstone for ethical decisions relating to withholding and withdrawing therapies in current practice. Tenets from the President’s Commission report include:

- The voluntary and informed choice of a competent patient should determine whether a life-sustaining therapy would be undertaken.
- Healthcare professionals serve patient’s best interest by maintaining a presumption in favor of sustaining life, while recognizing that competent patients are entitled to choose to forgo any treatments, including those that sustain life.
- Whether a treatment is warranted depends on the balance of its usefulness or benefits for a particular patient and consideration of the burdens that the treatment would impose.
- The right to determine what treatments a patient shall receive does not end with the patient’s incapacity, an appropriate surrogate, ordinarily a family member, should be named to make decisions for patients who have insufficient capacity to make their own decisions.

More recently, the U.S. Supreme Court has rejected the argument that limitations to life support constitute physician assisted suicide or euthanasia.² ³ Setting limits to life-sustaining therapies has become common practice in North American and European ICUs.⁴ The American Nurses Association, in its position statement *Registered Nurses’ Roles and Responsibilities in Providing Expert Care at the End of Life* states “End-of-life choices are a quality of life issue. Nurses, individually and collectively, have an obligation to provide comprehensive and compassionate end-of-life care, including the promotion of comfort, relief of pain, and support for patients, families, and their
surrogates when a decision has been made to forgo life-sustaining treatments. Respect for persons is a fundamental principle of bioethics; ensuring respect for persons includes honoring their wishes regarding treatment decisions.

Position Statement

- Every person with decision-making capacity has the right to initiate, any medical therapy that offers reasonable probability of benefit, and to withhold and/or withdraw any medical therapy.
- Patients have the right to appoint a surrogate decision maker.
- It is the duty of the healthcare team to honor any previously communicated advance directive, including those that appoint a surrogate decision maker if the patient loses decision-making capacity.
- Patients who lack decisional capacity and who do not have a previously designated surrogate decision-maker should have one named in accordance to state, local and institutional regulations.
- Parents/guardians have legal authority to make decisions regarding treatment for their children if the patient is under the age of 18, and are considered to have their child’s best interest at heart.
- All life-sustaining therapies may be withheld or withdrawn.
- Palliative care nurses shall assist as needed to facilitate decision-making and advocate care that is consistent with the stated wishes of the patient and his/her surrogates.
- Limitation of life-sustaining treatment does not mean limiting caring; families often need reassurance that a decision to forgo or limit treatment does not result in lack of appropriate care or abandonment.

Definition of Terms

Forgoing life-sustaining treatment: To do without a medical intervention that would be expected to extend the patient’s life. Forgoing includes withholding (non-initiation) and withdrawing (stopping).

Life-sustaining therapies include but are not limited to: Cardiopulmonary resuscitation; cardiac support devices (pacemakers, internal cardioverters/defibrillators, intraaortic balloon pumps) and cardiac medications; respiratory support devices (invasive and non-invasive mechanical ventilation, oxygen, and respiratory medications); renal support devices (dialysis in any form) and renal medications; blood products; parenteral and enteral nutrition and hydration; cancer treatments; and surgery.
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